August 20, 2004

Mr. Marc Allen Connelly Assistant General Counsel Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, Texas 78756

OR2004-7123

Dear Mr. Connelly:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 207694.

The Texas Department of Health (the "department") received a request for information regarding Christus St. Michael Rehabilitation Hospital in Texarkana, Texas. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 241.051 of the Health and Safety Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the department's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code in seeking a ruling from this office. In accordance with section 552.301(b), a governmental body seeking a ruling from this office must assert the exceptions to disclosure that apply to the requested information no later than the tenth business day after receiving the written request for information. In addition, within fifteen business days of receiving the request, the governmental body is required to submit (1) general written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. See Gov't Code 552.301 § (e)(1). The department received the request for information on May 10, 2004. However, you did not request a decision from this office or submit the information required

by section 552.301(e)(1) until June 18, 2004. Consequently, we find that the department has failed to comply with the requirements of section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to comply with section 552.301 results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released. Information that is presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. See Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). This office has held that a compelling reason exists to withhold information when the information is confidential by another source of law or affects third party interests. See Open Records Decision No. 150 (1977). Sections 552.101 constitutes such a compelling reason. Therefore, we will consider whether this exception applies to the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by section 241.051 of the Health and Safety Code. Section 241.051 provides in pertinent part:

- (d) All information and materials obtained or compiled by the department in connection with a complaint and investigation concerning a hospital are confidential and not subject to disclosure under [the Public Information Act], and not subject to disclosure, discovery, subpoena, or other means of legal compulsion for their release to anyone other than the department or its employees or agents involved in the enforcement action except that this information may be disclosed to:
  - (1) persons involved with the department in the enforcement action against the hospital;
  - (2) the hospital that is the subject of the enforcement action, or the hospital's authorized representative;
  - (3) appropriate state or federal agencies that are authorized to inspect, survey, or investigate hospital services;
  - (4) law enforcement agencies; and
  - (5) persons engaged in bona fide research, if all individual-identifying and hospital-identifying information has been deleted.

- (e) The following information is subject to disclosure in accordance with [the Public Information Act]:
  - (1) a notice of alleged violation against the hospital, which notice shall include the provisions of law which the hospital is alleged to have violated, and a general statement of the nature of the alleged violation;
  - (2) the pleadings in the administrative proceeding; and
  - (3) a final decision or order by the department.

Health & Safety Code § 241.051(d), (e). You indicate that the department obtained and compiled the submitted information as a result of a complaint concerning a special hospital. See Health & Safety Code § 241.003(15) (defining special hospital). You state that this information does not contain any information that falls within the exceptions to confidentiality outlined in section 241.051. Based on your arguments and our review of the submitted information, we agree that this information is subject to section 241.051 and that none of the exceptions outlined in that section is applicable in this instance. Accordingly, we conclude that the department must withhold the submitted information pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 241.051 of the Health and Safety Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records

will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

W. David Floyd

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

WDF/sdk

Ref: ID# 207694

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Claudene T. Arrington
Arrington Law Firm
P.O. Box 292
Hope, Arkansas 71802-0292
(w/o enclosures)